European Union (EU) Council Directive 2004/108/EC Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC) and FCC Part 15 Subpart B Class B Test Report for Information Technology Equipment

Sensoray

2255S

July 17, 2013

Tests Conducted by:

ElectroMagnetic Investigations, LLC

20811 NW Cornell Road, Suite 600, Hillsboro, Oregon 97124, USA Tele (503) 466-1160 Fax (503) 466-1170 <u>support@emicomply.com</u>

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 1 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Test Summary Inform Revision History Authorizations Device Under Test Informat Device Under Test Selection		4 5 5 7 8
Emissions Test Report		9
Radiated Emissions I Purpose DUT Modifications Radiated Emissions Results Auxiliary Equipment in m Auxiliary Equipment outs Cables Measurement Bandwidth	easurement area ide measurement area	10 11 11 12 12 12 12 12 13
ElectroStatic Dischar	ge (ESD) Information	
Purpose DUT Modifications ElectroStatic Discharge Res Auxiliary Equipment in m Auxiliary Equipment outs Cables	sults easurement area	20 20 21 21 21 21 21
Radiated Immunity In Purpose DUT Modifications Radiated Immunity Results Auxiliary Equipment in m	formation	
Auxiliary Equipment outs Cables Radiated Immunity Data Sh Radiated Immunity Photogr	eet	28 28 29 30
	ents (burst) Immunity Informat	
Purpose DUT Modifications Electrical Fast Transient (be Auxiliary Equipment in m Auxiliary Equipment outs Cables Electrical Fast Transient (be	easurement area ide measurement area	34 34 35 35 35 35 36
RF Conducted Immu	nity Information	
Purpose		39
DUT Modifications Conducted Immunity Resul Auxiliary Equipment in m		40 41 41
EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 2 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Auxiliary Equipment outside measurement area	41
Cables	41
Conducted Immunity Data Sheet	42
Conducted Immunity Photographs	43
an a dim A. Derfermennen Oriteria	47
ppendix A: Performance Criteria	
Performance Criteria A	47
Performance Criteria A Performance Criteria B	
Performance Criteria A	47

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Test Summary Information

Report Number:	SEN20130612	
Issue Date:	July 17, 2013	
Test Item:	2255S	
Serial Number:	528768	

Emissions:

	LIII33IVII3.		
Result	Product Standard	Test Standard	Description
Pass	EN 61000-6-3:2007+A1:2011 (IEC 61000-6- 3:2006Ed2+A1:2010)/FCC Part 15 Subpart B Class B	EN55022:2010/CISPR 22Ed6:2008 Class B/FCC Part 15 Subpart B Class B	Radiated Emissions
N/A	EN 61000-6-3:2007+A1:2011 (IEC 61000-6- 3:2006Ed2+A1:2010)/FCC Part 15 Subpart B Class B	EN55022:2010/CISPR 22Ed6:2008 Class B/FCC Part 15 Subpart B Class B	Conducted Emissions
N/A	EN61000-3-2:2006 +A1:2009+A2:2009	EN61000-3-2:2006+A1:2009+A2:2009 Class A/IEC61000-3- 2:2005+A1:2008+A2:2009 Class A	Power line Harmonics
N/A	EN61000-3-3:2008/IEC 61000-3-3:2008	EN 61000-3-3:2008/IEC 61000-3- 3:2008 Class A	Power line Voltage Fluctuation & Flicker

Immunity:

initianity.					
Result	Product Standard	Test Standard	Description	Performance Criteria	Test Levels
Pass	EN 61000-6-1:2007 (IEC 61000-6-1:2005)	EN61000-4- 2:2008(IEC 61000-4- 2:2008Ed.2)	Electrostatic Discharge Immunity	Criteria B	4 kV Contact Discharge 8 kV Air Discharge
Pass	EN 61000-6-1:2007 (IEC 61000-6-1:2005)	EN61000-4- 3:2006+A1:2008+A2:2 010(IEC61000-4- 3:2006+A1:2007+A2:2 010	RF Field Immunity	Criteria A	3 V/m, 80-1000 MHz 3 V/m, 1.4-2 GHz 1 V/m, 2-2.7 GHz
Pass	EN 61000-6-1:2007 (IEC 61000-6-1:2005)	EN61000-4- 4:2004+A1:2010 (IEC61000-4- 4:2004+A1:2010)	Electrical Fast Transient/Burst (EFT) Immunity	Criteria B	1 kV peak
N/A	EN 61000-6-1:2007 (IEC 61000-6-1:2005)	EN61000-4- 4:2006(IEC61000-4- 5:2005)	Electrical Slow Transient (Surge) Immunity	Criteria B	1 kV peak – DM 2 kV peak - CM
Pass	EN 61000-6-1:2007 (IEC 61000-6-1:2005)	EN61000-4- 6:2009(IEC61000-4- 6:2008Ed.3)	RF Conducted Immunity	Criteria A	3 Vrms, 150 kHz to 80 MHz
N/A	EN 61000-6-1:2007 (IEC 61000-6-1:2005)	EN61000-4-8:2010(IEC 61000-4-8:2009Ed.2)	Magnetic Field Immunity	Criteria A	3 A/m, 50 & 60 Hz
N/A	EN 61000-6-1:2007 (IEC 61000-6-1:2005)	EN61000-4- 11:2004(IEC61000-4- 11:2004Ed.2)	Voltage Interruption Immunity	Various	30% dip, 10 mS, Perf. B; 60% dip, 100 mS, Perf. B; >95% drop, 5 S, Perf. C

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 4 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

- The Equipment was tested in the configuration and modes of operation provided by the client. Test levels were specified by the client within the test plan. Any additional tests not reported herein are the responsibility of the client as the overall product compliance is the responsibility of the client.
- This report may only be reproduced in its entirety. To reproduce this report in part, specific written permission must be obtained from ElectroMagnetic Investigations.
- The results presented in this test report pertain only to the test items described within this report.
- Specific test descriptions can be found in the specific individual section of the test report. Deviations to the Test Standard

No Deviations were made to the standard test methods

Revision History

Version	Date Issued	Description of Revision

Authorizations

FCC: The 3-meter Semi-Anechoic Chamber and Conducted Emissions facilities are fully described in reports filed with the Federal Communications Commission. Corresponding letters of acceptance are maintained in our files.

Industry Canada: Accepted by Industry Canada for performance of radiated emissions measurements.

European Union (CE): ElectroMagnetic Investigations, LLC is equipped and capable of performing EMC CE compliance testing to European Union EMC CE requirements for Information Technology Equipment (ITE), Measurement, Control and Laboratory Equipment (MCL), and other equipment.

American Association of Lab Accreditations (A2LA): ElectroMagnetic Investigations is accredited to perform the tests contained within this report to the standards listed.



EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 5 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Report Approved By:

Henry W. Bent

Signature

<u>17 July 2013</u> Date

<u>Henry Benitez</u> Name

Report Written By:

lacqueline Benity

Signature

<u>17 July 2013</u> Date

<u>Jackie Benitez</u> Name

Testing Performed By:

Ryan Benity

Signature

01 July 2013 Date

Signature

Date

Name

Name

Ryan Benitez

Signature

Date

Name

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 6 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	2255S

Testing requested by:

Company Name:	Sensoray	
Company Address:	7313 SW Tech Center Drive	
City, State Zip:	Portland, OR. 97223	
Test Requested By:	Alexander Kostromitin	
Model:	22558	
First Date of Test:	June 12, 2013	
Last Date of Test:	July 1, 2013	
Date Samples Received:	June 12, 2013	
Equipment Design Stage:	Production Representative	
Equipment Condition:	Good	

Device Under Test Information

Device Under Test	22558
Functional Description of DUT	Multifunctional video codec capable of simultaneous capture from 16 video inputs
I/O Ports	4 video inputs and one USB
Clock Frequencies (>9kHz)	24 MHz, 27 MHz, 480 MHz
Modes of Operation	Receiving video signals
Operating System	MS Windows
Exercising Software	2255 Demo Application
Power Supply Voltage, Frequency	5V, USB

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 7 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Device Under Test Selection Justification

Sensoray certifies that product tested is a representative sample of unit to be • sold.

I, <u>Bill Tanner, Jr.</u>, representative for Sensoray verify that the product tested is representative of units to be sold.

Bill Tannaph (Signature)

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Emissions Test Report

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 9 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Radiated Emissions Information

The client provided the test modes, configurations, and operational settings for the DUT and any supporting equipment.

The DUT and the AE that is designated to be placed in the measurement area were placed on a non-conducting tabletop 80 cm tall. Each device is placed on the tabletop 10 cm from its neighboring device. The excess cable length was draped off of the rear of the table. If the excess cable fell closer than 40 cm from the ground plane, the cable were bundled in non-inductive bundles of 30-40 cm loops (when possible) to maintain 40 cm in height. The measurement antenna was then placed 3 m from the closest approach of the DUT/AE system. Any AE that had to be placed outside the measurement area was setup either outside of the chamber or under the floor, depending on size and convenience.

The DUT and the AE were operated in the modes specified by the client while the emissions were measured.

To measure the emissions at the frequency range specified in this report, a preliminary scan was performed with a linearly polarized antenna while the turntable was rotated 360 degrees and the antenna mast was raised from 1 meter height to 4 meters in height in both a horizontal polarization and a vertical polarization. Any emissions that were found to be within 6 dB of the specified limit were then maximized to find the level that was recorded.

The maximization process included manual manipulation of the cables, continuous height scanning, and continuous azimuth scanning.

Device Under Test	22558
Functional Description of DUT	Multifunctional video codec capable of simultaneous capture from 16 video inputs
Serial Number	528768
I/O Ports Populated for test	4 video inputs and one USB
Clock Frequencies (>9kHz)	24 MHz, 27 MHz, 480 MHz
Modes of Operation	Receiving video signals
Operating System (Version)	MS Windows
Exercising Software (Version)	2255 Demo Application
Power Supply Voltage, Frequency	5V, USB
Frequency Range Tested	30 MHz to 1 GHz

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 10 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Purpose

The purpose of the testing is to determine if the 2255S is compliant to electromagnetic emission limits as specified by EN 61000-6-3:2007+A1:2011 (IEC 61000-6-3:2006Ed2+A1:2010)/FCC Part 15 Subpart B Class B to support compliance to the European Union EMC Directive 2004/108/EC, FCC Part 15 Subpart B Class B, and other regulations based on this standard.

The radiated emissions test was performed using the parameters above. If any work was done to investigate a worst-case setup, the worst-case setup would be listed. The testing was performed at a facility which meets the requirements set forth by ANSI C63.4, including but not limited to mains impedance, cable bundling, and Volumetric Normalized Site Attenuation. The emissions reported were maximized through a combination of turntable (or azimuth) maximization, tower (or height) maximization, and cable maximization.

DUT Modifications

Improved the grounding from the USB connector to the chassis ground on the DUT.

EMI4019.04	MI4019.04 Testing Report	
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Radiated Emissions Results

Test Standards: EN55022:2010/CISPR 22Ed6:2008 Class B/FCC Part 15 Subpart B Class B

Auxiliary Equipment in measurement area

Device	Manufacturer	Model Number	Serial Number
Laptop PC	Compaq	Presario V6000	CFN630001RP
Video camera	Sony	HDR-CX190	1229945
Mouse	Microsoft	Wheel Mouse Optical 1.1A USB	826
Laptop PC Power Supply	Hewlett Packard	592C60BYMT2E6G	F3-06040487570B

* Note: This includes all equipment connected to the DUT and located within the measurement area. Emissions from this equipment could increase the emissions measured.

Auxiliary Equipment outside measurement area

Device	Manufacturer	Model Number	Serial Number
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Note: This includes all equipment isolated from the DUT and the measurement area. Emissions from this equipment will not increase the emissions measured.

Cables

Type of Cable	Shield?	Length (m)	Ferrite?	Shipped with Product?	Connection 1	Connection 2
Video in 1	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Video camera	EUT - Video in 1
Video in 2	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Video camera	EUT - Video in 2
Video in 3	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Video camera	EUT - Video in 3
Video in 4	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Video camera	EUT - Video in 4
USB	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Laptop PC - USB	EUT - USB


EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 12 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Measurement Bandwidths


Frequency (MHz)	Peak (kHz)	Quasi-Peak (kHz)	Average (kHz)
0.15 – 30	9.0	9.0	9.0
30 - 1000	120	120	120
>1000	1000	N/A	1000

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 13 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Radiated Emission Plots



EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 14 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558




EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 15 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Radiated Emissions Photographs



EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 16 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558



EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 17 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Immunity Test Report

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 18 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

ElectroStatic Discharge (ESD) Information

The client provided the test modes, configurations, and operational settings for the DUT and any supporting equipment.

Table-top DUTs and the AE that is designated to be placed in the measurement area were placed on a non-conducting tabletop 80 cm tall with a horizontal coupling plane. The DUT and AE were isolated from the horizontal coupling plane by a thin non-conducting surface 0.5 mm thick. The horizontal coupling plane was attached to the a ground plane on the floor by two 470 Ω resistors. A vertical coupling plane was placed on the table-top for vertical coupling plane tests. The vertical coupling plane was also terminated to the ground plane on the floor by two 470 Ω resistors.

Floor standing DUTs and AE were placed on the ground plane with a 10 cm thick insulator isolating the equipment from the ground plane. The only ground connection allowed was the ground connection that was created by the power connector, or that which would normally be present in the application of the DUT.

The ground plane on the floor extended past the edge of the tabletop or the DUT by at least one half a meter on all sides. The DUT was placed at least 1 meter from all conducting surfaces.

The DUT and the AE were operated in the modes specified by the client while the ESD test was performed. The DUT was subjected to both air and contact discharges. The specific number of discharges at each voltage level is specified within this report. Any contact discharges were applied to all conductive surfaces as specified in the test standard. Any air discharges were applied to non-conducting surfaces as specified in the test standard. If any response was seen within the DUT system, it was noted in the report. The testing was performed with the DUT fully configured with appropriate AE and connecting cables.

All targets tested were recorded along with the type of discharge, the number of discharges, voltage level, polarity, and the reaction of the DUT system.

Device Under Test	22558
Functional Description of DUT	Multifunctional video codec capable of simultaneous capture from 16 video inputs
Serial Number	528768
I/O Ports Populated for test	4 video inputs and one USB
Clock Frequencies (>9kHz)	24 MHz, 27 MHz, 480 MHz
Modes of Operation	Receiving video signals
Operating System (Version)	MS Windows
Exercising Software (version)	2255 Demo Application
Power Supply Voltage, Frequency	5V, USB
Test Level	4 kV contact discharge, 8 kV air discharge

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 19 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Purpose

The purpose of the testing is to determine if the 2255S is compliant to electromagnetic immunity requirements as specified by EN 61000-6-1:2007 (IEC 61000-6-1:2005) to support compliance to the European Union EMC Directive 2004/108/EC and other regulations based on this standard.

The ESD test was performed using the parameters above. If any work was done to investigate a worst-case setup, the worst-case setup would be listed.

DUT Modifications

No modifications were done to the DUT. No EMI suppression was added to the cabling. The DUT was tested as delivered to EMI.

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 20 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	2255S

ElectroStatic Discharge Results

Test Standard: EN61000-4-2:2008(IEC 61000-4-2:2008Ed.2)

ElectroStatic Discharge:DUT performed to Criteria B.

Auxiliary Equipment in measurement area

Device	Manufacturer	Model Number	Serial Number
Laptop PC	Compaq	Presario V6000	CFN630001RP
Video camera	Sony	HDR-CX190	1229945
Mouse	Microsoft	Wheel Mouse Optical 1.1A USB	826
Laptop PC Power Supply	Hewlett Packard	592C60BYMT2E6G	F3-06040487570B

* Note: This includes all equipment connected to the DUT and located within the measurement/testing area.

Auxiliary Equipment outside measurement area

Device	Manufacturer	Model Number	Serial Number	
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	


* Note: This includes all equipment isolated from the DUT and the measurement/testing area.

Cables

Type of Cable	Shield?	Length (m)	Ferrite?	Shipped with Product?	Connection 1	Connection 2
Video in 1	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Video camera	EUT - Video in 1
Video in 2	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Video camera	EUT - Video in 2
Video in 3	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Video camera	EUT - Video in 3
Video in 4	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Video camera	EUT - Video in 4
USB	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Laptop PC - USB	EUT - USB

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 21 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

ElectroStatic Discharge Data Sheet




EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 22 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558



ElectroStatic Discharge Photographs

EMI4019.04
Report_SEN20130612



EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 24 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558



EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 25 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Radiated Immunity Information

The client provided the test modes, configurations, and operational settings for the DUT and any supporting equipment.

The DUT and the AE that is designated to be placed in the measurement area were placed on a non-conducting tabletop 80 cm tall. Each device is placed on the tabletop 10 cm from its neighboring device. The excess cable length was draped off of the rear of the table. If the excess cable fell closer than 40 cm from the ground plane, the cable were bundled in non-inductive bundles of 30-40 cm loops (when possible) to maintain 40 cm in height. The transmit antenna was then placed 3 m from the DUT/AE system. Any AE that had to be placed outside the measurement area was setup either outside of the chamber or under the floor, depending on size and convenience.

The DUT and the AE were operated in the modes specified by the client while the susceptibility was measured.

The field was calibrated to a uniform field in a fully anechoic chamber as per the requirements within IEC61000-4-3 using an empty room. The power required to produce this field was recorded for playback when the DUT is placed within the chamber. The uniform field was created over a vertical plane of dimensions 1.5 m by 1.5 m placed 0.8 m above the floor. If the DUT can be completely exposed to the field using a smaller uniform surface, the surface was reduced to meet the appropriate size of the DUT, but the surface would never be smaller than 0.5 m by 0.5 m.

Device Under Test	22558
Functional Description of DUT	Multifunctional video codec capable of simultaneous capture from 16 video inputs
Serial Number	528768
I/O Ports Populated for test	4 video inputs and one USB
Clock Frequencies (>9kHz)	24 MHz, 27 MHz, 480 MHz
Modes of Operation	Receiving video signals
Operating System (Version)	MS Windows
Exercising Software (version)	2255 Demo Application
Power Supply Voltage, Frequency	5V, USB
Test Level	3 V/m, 80-1000 MHz, 3V/m, 1-2.7 GHz

The face of the DUT and it corresponding system was placed at vertical surface used for calibration.

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 26 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	2255S

Purpose

The purpose of the testing is to determine if the 2255S is compliant to electromagnetic immunity limits as specified by EN 61000-6-1:2007 (IEC 61000-6-1:2005) to support compliance to the European Union EMC Directive 2004/108/EC and other regulations based on this standard.

The radiated immunity test was performed using the parameters above. If any work was done to investigate a worst-case setup, the worst-case setup would be listed.

DUT Modifications

No modifications were done to the DUT. No EMI suppression was added to the cabling. The DUT was tested as delivered to EMI.

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 27 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Radiated Immunity Results

Test Standard: EN61000-4-3:2006+A1:2008+A2:2010(IEC61000-4-3:2006+A1:2007+A2:2010

Radiated Immunity: DUT performed to Criteria A.

Auxiliary Equipment in measurement area

Device	Manufacturer	Model Number	Serial Number
Laptop PC	Compaq	Presario V6000	CFN630001RP
Video camera	Sony	HDR-CX190	1229945
Mouse	Microsoft	Wheel Mouse Optical 1.1A USB	826
Laptop PC Power Supply	Hewlett Packard	592C60BYMT2E6G	F3-06040487570B

* Note: This includes all equipment connected to the DUT and located within the measurement/testing area.

Auxiliary Equipment outside measurement area

Device	Manufacturer	Model Number	Serial Number	
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	


* Note: This includes all equipment isolated from the DUT and the measurement/testing area.

Cables

Type of Cable	Shield?	Length (m)	Ferrite?	Shipped with Product?	Connection 1	Connection 2
Video in 1	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Video camera	EUT - Video in 1
Video in 2	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Video camera	EUT - Video in 2
Video in 3	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Video camera	EUT - Video in 3
Video in 4	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Video camera	EUT - Video in 4
USB	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Laptop PC - USB	EUT - USB

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 28 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Radiated Immunity Data Sheet



EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 29 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558


Radiated Immunity Photographs



EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 30 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558



EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 31 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558




EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 32 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Electrical Fast Transients (burst) Immunity Information

The client provided the test modes, configurations, and operational settings for the DUT and any supporting equipment.

The DUT and the AE that is designated to be placed in the measurement area were placed 10 cm above a conducting surface. Each device is placed on the tabletop 10 cm from its neighboring device. The excess cable length was serpentined (not coiled) on the tabletop 10 cm above the ground plane. The power lines subjected to the transient were plugged directly into the generator. I/O's that were greater than 3 m in length were passed through a capacitive clamp, and also exposed to the transient.



The waveform of the transient can be seen in the following figure.

Figure: EFT/Burst Waveform

The DUT and the AE were operated in the modes specified by the client while the susceptibility was measured.

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 33 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Device Under Test	22558	
Functional Description of DUT	Multifunctional video codec capable of simultaneous capture from 16 video inputs	
Serial Number 528768		
I/O Ports Populated for test 4 video inputs and one USB		
Clock Frequencies (>9kHz)24 MHz, 27 MHz, 480 MHz		
Modes of Operation	Receiving video signals	
Operating System (Version)	MS Windows	
Exercising Software (version)	2255 Demo Application	
Power Supply Voltage, Frequency	5V, USB	
Test Level	1 kV Peak	

Purpose

The purpose of the testing is to determine if the 2255S is compliant to electromagnetic immunity limits as specified by EN 61000-6-1:2007 (IEC 61000-6-1:2005) to support compliance to the European Union EMC Directive 2004/108/EC and other regulations based on this standard.

The electrical fast transient immunity test was performed using the parameters above. If any work was done to investigate a worst-case setup, the worst-case setup would be listed.

DUT Modifications

No modifications were done to the DUT. No EMI suppression was added to the cabling. The DUT was tested as delivered to EMI.

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 34 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	2255S

Electrical Fast Transient (burst) Immunity Results

Test Standard: EN61000-4-4:2004+A1:2010 (IEC61000-4-4:2004+A1:2010)

EFT / Burst Immunity: DUT performed to Criteria A.

Auxiliary Equipment in measurement area

Device	Manufacturer	Model Number	Serial Number
Laptop PC	Compaq	Presario V6000	CFN630001RP
Video camera	Sony	HDR-CX190	1229945
Mouse	Microsoft	Wheel Mouse Optical 1.1A USB	826
Laptop PC Power Supply	Hewlett Packard	592C60BYMT2E6G	F3-06040487570B

* Note: This includes all equipment connected to the DUT and located within the measurement/testing area.

Auxiliary Equipment outside measurement area

Device	Manufacturer	Model Number	Serial Number
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A


* Note: This includes all equipment isolated from the DUT and the measurement/testing area.

Cables

Type of Cable	Shield?	Length (m)	Ferrite?	Shipped with Product?	Connection 1	Connection 2
Video in 1	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Video camera	EUT - Video in 1
Video in 2	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Video camera	EUT - Video in 2
Video in 3	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Video camera	EUT - Video in 3
Video in 4	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Video camera	EUT - Video in 4
USB	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Laptop PC - USB	EUT - USB

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 35 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Electrical Fast Transient (burst) Immunity Data Sheet



EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 36 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558



Electrical Fast Transient (burst) Immunity Photographs

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 37 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558



EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 38 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	2255S

RF Conducted Immunity Information

The client provided the test modes, configurations, and operational settings for the DUT and any supporting equipment.

The DUT and the AE that is designated to be placed in the measurement area were placed on a non-conducting surface 10 cm above a ground plane. Each device is placed on the tabletop 10 cm from its neighboring device. The cables were serpentined 3 cm above the ground plane. Any cables not being tested were fitted with a decoupling device. Power entered the DUT and the AE through a coupling decoupling network which was bonded to the ground plane.

The DUT and the AE were operated in the modes specified by the client while the susceptibility was measured.

The RF field was calibrated with a 150 Ω calibration jig as specified within IEC 61000-4-6. The power required to produce the required field strength was recorded for playback when the DUT is placed within the test fixture.

The power lines of the DUT were tested with the appropriate CDN. The power passed through the CDN and the RF was coupled onto the power line.

The I/O cables greater than 3 m were tested with either a CDN designed for the specific I/O or a current clamp. The I/O specific CDN was placed in series with the system and tested like the power CDN. The clamp was placed around the I/O line being tested and placed as close to the DUT as possible, but no more than 30 cm away.

Device Under Test	22558	
Functional Description of DUT	Multifunctional video codec capable of simultaneous capture from 16 video inputs	
Serial Number	528768	
I/O Ports Populated for test	4 video inputs and one USB	
Clock Frequencies (>9kHz)	24 MHz, 27 MHz, 480 MHz	
Modes of Operation	Receiving video signals	
Operating System (Version)	MS Windows	
Exercising Software (version)	2255 Demo Application	
Power Supply Voltage, Frequency	5V, USB	
Test Level	3 Vrms, 150 kHz to 80 MHz	

Purpose

The purpose of the testing is to determine if the 2255S is compliant to electromagnetic immunity limits as specified by EN 61000-6-1:2007 (IEC 61000-6-1:2005) to support compliance to the European Union EMC Directive 2004/108/EC and other regulations based on this standard.

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 39 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

The RF conducted immunity test was performed using the parameters above. If any work was done to investigate a worst-case setup, the worst-case setup would be listed.

DUT Modifications

No modifications were done to the DUT. No EMI suppression was added to the cabling. The DUT was tested as delivered to EMI.

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 40 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Conducted Immunity Results

Test Standard: EN61000-4-6:2009(IEC61000-4-6:2008Ed.3)

Radiated Immunity: DUT performed to Criteria A.

Auxiliary Equipment in measurement area

Device	Manufacturer	Model Number	Serial Number
Laptop PC	Compaq	Presario V6000	CFN630001RP
Video camera	Sony	HDR-CX190	1229945
Mouse	Microsoft	Wheel Mouse Optical 1.1A USB	826
Laptop PC Power Supply	Hewlett Packard	592C60BYMT2E6G	F3-06040487570B

* Note: This includes all equipment connected to the DUT and located within the measurement/testing area.

Auxiliary Equipment outside measurement area

Device	Manufacturer	Model Number	Serial Number
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A


* Note: This includes all equipment isolated from the DUT and the measurement/testing area.

Cables

Type of Cable	Shield?	Length (m)	Ferrite?	Shipped with Product?	Connection 1	Connection 2
Video in 1	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Video camera	EUT - Video in 1
Video in 2	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Video camera	EUT - Video in 2
Video in 3	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Video camera	EUT - Video in 3
Video in 4	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Video camera	EUT - Video in 4
USB	Yes	2m	N/A	No	Laptop PC - USB	EUT - USB


EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 41 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558

Conducted Immunity Data Sheet




EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 42 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558


Conducted Immunity Photographs




EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 43 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558



EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 44 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558



EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 45 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558



EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 46 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	2255S

Appendix A: Performance Criteria

During the immunity testing, the Device under test is observed for variances beyond what is considered normal. The client is ultimately responsible for the compliance of the DUT and its supporting system. However, ElectroMagnetic Investigations tries to indicate to the client the performance of the product. In the case where the referenced standard specifies the acceptable deviations, the performance criteria noted within this report corresponds to it. In the case that the customer specifies the acceptable performance, ElectroMagnetic Investigations simply reports as per the test plan.

In general, the test performance criteria follows the pattern listed.

Performance Criteria A

- The DUT does not have any noticeable deviations in it performance before, during or after the application of the EMC test.
- If a range of performance is specified as normal operation, the DUT did not have any readings outside of this range before, during or after the application of the EMC test.

Performance Criteria B

- The DUT does not have any deviations of performance before or after the application of the EMC test, but during the application a deviation is noted that is not considered normal operation. When the EMC test is paused or completed the DUT recovered on its own and did not require any user intervention to return it to normal operation.
- If a range of performance is specified as normal operation, the DUT's performance was outside of this range during the application of the test, but recovered on its own and operated within its normal range after the application of the EMC test.
- No permanent damage occurred to the DUT or any AE as a result of the disturbance.

Performance Criteria C

- The DUT was operating normally before the application of the EMC test, but during the application, the DUT stopped operating normally, and did not recover after the application of the EMC test until an operator intervened. This includes but is not limited to:
 - Power cycling the DUT,
 - o Restarting the exercising software on the DUT, and

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 47 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	2255S

- Unplugging and replugging the DUT or any AE.
- The act of "recovering" the DUT must be something that could be accomplished by the customer with little training.
- No permanent damage occurred to the DUT or any AE as a result of the disturbance.

Performance Criteria D

- The DUT was operating normally before the application of the EMC test, but could not be made to function normally after the completion of the test.
- The recovery of the DUT took significant expertise to return to normal operation.
- There was permanent damage to the DUT during the application of the EMC test.

EMI4019.04	Testing Report	Page 48 of 48
Report_SEN20130612	ElectroMagnetic Investigations	22558